3

CFIR/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

Writ petition No. 3066 /2003.

PETITIONER : Girdhari Lal Soni S/o. Sri Raja Ram Soni Aged about-52 years, Co-operative Extension - Officer, Arang, R/o. Block-Arang, Distt - Raipur(C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS : (1) State of C.G. Through : Secretary Department of Co-Operative Mantralay, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.

P. R. No. 2344/03
Presented by Shri M.V. Paranjpe
dated 24/09/03

(2) Registrar Co-Operative Society Agriculture College, Labhandi Distt - Raipur (C.G.)

(3) Deputy Registrar, Co-Operative Societies, Near Co-Operative Bank, Rajbandha - Raipur (C.G.)

(4) Din Bandhu Dargave Aged about-52 years, Co-Operative Extension Officer, Co-Operative Extension Block, Otagi, Distt- Sarguja(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIATORI/MENDOMUS/ PROHIBITIONS AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS/ ORDER OR ORDERS :

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 3066 of 2003

65

Petitioner : Girdhari Lal Soni

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Shri Manoj Paranjape, cousnel, counsel for the Petitioner.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for the respondents No. 1 to 3/State.

Shri Amiyakant Tiwari, counsel for the respondent No. 4.

ORAL ORDER

(27th February, 2006)

1. This writ petition has been filed by Shri Girdhari Lal Soni, wherein the transfer order dated 9.9.2003 was impugned. This Court, after hearing learned counsel for the parties, by order dated 1.10.2003 directed the respondent No. 1 to consider the representation of the petitioner, namely Shri Girdhari Lal Soni within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation. The respondent No. 1 by order dated 5.12.2003 considered the representation of the petitioner namely Girdhari Lal Soni and cancelled the impugned order dated 9.9.2003, and as such, this petition, as stated by learned counsel for the petitioner, has become infructuous.

2. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Babla